



MINUTES

COUNCIL
THURSDAY, 28 APRIL 2005
2.00 PM

PRESENT

Councillor Graham Wheat Chairman

Councillor Auger
Councillor Mrs Bosworth
Councillor Bryant
Councillor Carpenter
Councillor Mrs Cartwright
Councillor Miss Channell
Councillor Chivers
Councillor Mrs Dexter
Councillor Fines
Councillor Donald Fisher
Councillor Mrs Gaffigan
Councillor Gibbins
Councillor Helyar
Councillor Howard
Councillor John Hurst
Councillor Fereshteh Hurst
Councillor Mrs Jalili
Councillor Joynson
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Kirkman
Councillor Lovelock M.B.E.

Councillor Martin-Mayhew
Councillor Nadarajah
Councillor Mrs Neal
Councillor Nicholson
Councillor Parkin
Councillor Pease
Councillor Norman Radley
Councillor Mrs Margery Radley
Councillor Sandall
Councillor Selby
Councillor John Smith
Councillor Mrs Judy Smith
Councillor Stokes
Councillor Mike Taylor
Councillor Thompson
Councillor Turner
Councillor Mrs Wheat
Councillor Wilks
Councillor Avril Williams
Councillor Mike Williams
Councillor Wood
Councillor Mrs Woods

OFFICERS

Chief Executive
Corporate Manager, Democratic & Legal
Services (Monitoring Officer)
Director of Community Services

OFFICERS

Members Services Manager
Environmental Health Manager
Community Safety Manager

1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

DECISION: That Councillor John Kirkman be elected Chairman of the District Council until the next annual meeting of the Council.

It was proposed by Councillor Thompson and seconded by Councillor Mrs Neal that Councillor John Kirkman be Chairman of the Council for the ensuing year. Councillor Thompson spoke in support of Councillor Kirkman, referring to his previous two terms of office as Mayor of Bourne, his service as a member of both the Lincolnshire County Council and this authority. His keen grasp of financial matters would ensure that the Council continued to operate prudently. Councillor Thompson expressed the view that a Chairman's greatest asset was his or her Consort and, with Mrs Jean Kirkman as Chairman's Lady, Councillor Kirkman had that support. Councillor Mrs Neal made mention of Councillor Kirkman's integrity and honesty commending him to the Council as a man of principle.

Councillor Mike Williams then voiced the view of the Labour group who felt the Chairmanship should be rotated amongst the groups represented on the Council. He therefore proposed Councillor Vic Kerr as Chairman of the Council. This was seconded by Councillor Avril Williams who spoke about Councillor Kerr's reputation for being an honest, competent, straight forward man who was a committed Councillor.

In view of there being two candidates for the position of Chairman, it was moved and seconded that in accordance with council procedure rule 23.1, standing orders be suspended for this item only to permit voting on the election of Chairman to be taken by show of hands. A vote in this manner resulted in 30 votes for Councillor Kirkman and 14 votes for Councillor Kerr.

Councillor Kirkman was duly invested with the chain of office, made a declaration of acceptance of office and took the chair. He thanked those who had supported him and expressed regret that it had been a contested election. The new Chairman stated he took pride in his Lincolnshire roots and pledged to support South Kesteven District Council in his new role with the assistance from his wife, Mrs Jean Kirkman.

COUNCILLOR JOHN KIRKMAN IN THE CHAIR

2. VOTE OF THANKS TO THE RETIRING CHAIRMAN

A vote of thanks was made to the retiring Chairman, Councillor Graham Wheat, by Councillor Mike Taylor. The Chairman was then presented with a portrait of himself by local artist Terry Shelborne as a gift from the Council. Councillor Wheat spoke briefly about his year of office which had been an eventful one. He acknowledged the support he had received from all sections of the Council and from members of all political groups. He stated that the office of Chairman was one in he had been proud to serve and publicly thanked his wife,

Councillor Mrs Mary Wheat and Mrs Margery Radley who had also acted as his consort when Mrs Wheat had been unavailable. Councillor Wheat then presented Mrs Viv Wyatt with a token of thanks for her assistance with his secretarial support.

3. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

DECISION: That Councillor Gerald Taylor be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Council until the next annual meeting of the Council.

It was proposed by Councillor Conboy and seconded by Councillor Norman Radley that Councillor Gerald Taylor be Vice-Chairman of the District Council for the ensuing year. Councillor Conboy referred to Councillor Taylor's career in the RAF and his subsequent career as a teacher of mathematics. Councillor Taylor had also served as a Cabinet member.

A further nomination of Councillor Vic Kerr was proposed by Councillor Mike Williams and seconded by Councillor Yvonne Gibbins.

Again, in view of there being two candidates for the position of Vice-Chairman, it was moved and seconded that in accordance with council procedure rule 23.1, standing orders be suspended for this item only to permit voting on the election of Vice-Chairman to be taken by show of hands. A vote in this manner resulted in 30 votes for Councillor Taylor and 14 votes for Councillor Kerr.

Councillor Gerald Taylor made a declaration of acceptance of office.

4. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Bisnauthsing, Burrows, Craft, Neil Dexter, Hewerdine, Mrs Kaberry-Brown, Morris, O'Hare, Mrs Percival, and Waterhouse.

The Chairman informed the meeting that both Councillor Burrows and Councillor Neil Dexter were currently back in hospital. Councillor Mrs Dexter said that her husband's recent operation had been successful but he had subsequently become very weak and had been admitted to Leicester General hospital for investigation.

It was agreed that letters be sent on behalf of the Council to both members conveying best wishes for a speedy recovery.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest made.

6. MINUTES

The minutes of the meetings held on 24th February and 28th February 2005 were confirmed as correct records subject to Councillor Mrs Jalili's attendance

at the meeting on 28th February being recorded.

7. COMMUNICATIONS

The Chairman reminded members who had not yet done so to return the forms indicating their preferred dates for training to the Training & Development Manager.

8. APPOINTMENT OF LEADER

DECISION: That Councillor Mrs Linda Neal be elected as Leader of the District Council for the ensuing municipal year.

It was proposed by Councillor Lovelock and seconded by Councillor Bryant that Councillor Mrs Linda Neal be elected as Leader of the District Council.

A member expressed his view that he felt it was important for a Leader to be dynamic and carry the authority forward. He stated he was not happy with the way in which the council had performed over the last few years, mentioning that he considered that the scrutiny panels had been largely ignored and there should be a shadow cabinet. He outlined the areas in which he considered the Council had failed to perform and expressed the opinion that the authority needed someone who was prepared to take a grasp of the situation and move the Council forward. No other nominations for Leader were forthcoming.

9. CABINET MEMBERSHIP AND PORTFOLIO RESPONSIBILITIES

DECISION:

- (1) To agree that the Cabinet shall comprise the Leader and six Cabinet seats;
- (2) To note the following membership of the Cabinet and their respective portfolio responsibilities as set out in the Chief Executive's report CEX281 (previously considered by the Council at its meeting on 24th February 2005):

<u>Portfolio responsibility</u>	<u>Cabinet Member</u>
Economic Development	Councillor John Smith
Community Safety	Councillor Peter Martin-Mayhew (Deputy Leader)
Healthy Environment	Councillor Ray Auger
Access and Engagement	Councillor Paul Carpenter
Resources and Assets	Councillor Terl Bryant

Organisational Development

Councillor Mrs Frances Cartwright

Strategic Partnerships

Councillor Mrs Linda Neal

10. RE-ALLOCATION OF SEATS ON COUNCIL COMMITTEES AND PANELS

DECISION:

(1) To approve the following overall allocation of seats on decision making committees to ensure political balance:

Administration	31
Labour	10
Independent	7
New Independent	5
Liberal Democrat	4

(2) To approve the following allocation of seats on panels and committees:

	Dev. Control	Licensing	Const. & A/cs
Administration	9	6	3
Labour	3	2	1
Independent	2	1	1
New Independent	2	2	
Liberal Democrat	1	1	

	Econ. & Cultural	Com.	Com. & Eng'mt	Env.	Cap. & Res
Admin.	5	5	5	5	5
Labour	1	2	2	2	1
Independent	1	0	2	1	1
New Ind.	1	1	0	1	1
Lib Dem	1	1	0	0	1

In his report CEX285 the Chief Executive reported that he had received notification from Councillor Hewerdine that he had left the Independent Group and joined the New independent Group. Councillor Mrs Woods and notified him that she had joined the Independent Group. On 28th February 2005, Councillor Paul Genever had resigned from the Council. The Chief Executive

summarised the implications of these group changes upon the composition of the Council.

11. ISSUES FOR SOUTH KESTEVEN DISTRICT COUNCIL ARISING OUT OF A REVIEW OF THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REPORT ON LINCOLNSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

DECISION: That the contents of the Corporate Governance report on Lincolnshire County Council and the issues this raises for South Kesteven DC be referred to the relevant Development and Scrutiny Panel for detailed discussion and then to the Cabinet for conclusion and recommendation.

Members had before them the Chief Executive's report number CEX288 which summarised key aspects arising from the Audit Commission's report on the County Council from which he suggested a number of important lessons could be learnt by this authority. He acknowledged that the report did not make comfortable reading and challenged several long held assumptions and beliefs.

It was proposed and seconded that the report be referred to the relevant DSP and the Cabinet before the Council reaches any firm conclusions and recommendations for action. A member expressed concern that he did not see why this document should not be discussed at this meeting. He suggested it raised issues of fundamental importance, not just about Council policy but about democracy. The Council had two options; either to learn lessons in good spirit and good time or not to do that which would lead the community in painful struggle. He moved that the report be discussed at this meeting but the motion failed to find a seconder. After a member had commented on the training issues referred to in the report, a vote was taken on the motion to refer the matter to the DSP and Cabinet.

12. APPOINTMENTS TO THE NEW DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY PANELS AND OTHER COMMITTEES OF THE COUNCIL

DECISION:

- (1) To approve the revision of the remits and names of the five Development and Scrutiny Panels to accord with the Cabinet portfolios and the Council's ambitions;**
- (2) To approve the following appointments to the re-named panels and other committees of the Council and the Chairmanships and Vice-Chairmanships as indicated below:**

Development Control Committee

**Councillor Chivers
Councillor Neil Dexter**

Councillor Fines (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Helyar
Councillor Howard
Councillor Mrs Jalili
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Parkin (Chairman)
Councillor Pease
Councillor Mrs Percival
Councillor Norman Radley
Councillor Sandall
Councillor Turner
Councillor Stokes
Councillor Waterhouse
Councillor Avril Williams
Councillor Mike Williams

Licensing Committee

Councillor Mrs Bosworth
Councillor Mrs Gaffigan
Councillor Howard
Councillor O'Hare
Councillor Parkin
Councillor Mrs Percival
Councillor Norman Radley
Councillor Turner (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Graham Wheat
Councillor Mary Wheat (Chairman)
Councillor Mike Williams

Constitution & Accounts Committee

Councillor Lovelock (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Martin-Mayhew
Councillor Mrs Neal (Chairman)
Councillor Mike Williams
Councillor Wilks

Standards Committee

Councillor Lovelock
Councillor Wilks
Councillor Mike Williams

Economic Development & Scrutiny Panel

Councillor Joynson
Councillor Mrs Kaberry-Brown

Councillor Nicholson (Chairman)
Councillor Pease
Councillor Selby
Councillor Mrs Smith
Councillor Stokes
Councillor Thompson (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Mrs Woods

Community Development & Scrutiny Panel

Councillor Bisnauthsing
Councillor Mrs Bosworth (Chairman)
Councillor Hewerdine
Councillor Mrs Gaffigan (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Gibbins
Councillor Sandall
Councillor Mrs Smith
Councillor Gerald Taylor
Councillor Mrs Mary Wheat

Engagement Development & Scrutiny Panel

Councillor Burrows
Councillor Craft
Councillor Conboy
Councillor John Hurst
Councillor Kerr
Councillor Nadarajah (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Norman Radley
Councillor Mike Taylor (Chairman)
Councillor Mike Williams

Healthy Environment Development & Scrutiny Panel

Councillor Miss Channell
Councillor Craft (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Fisher
Councillor Helyar
Councillor Fereshteh Hurst
Councillor Pease
Councillor Mrs Marjory Radley
Councillor Waterhouse (Chairman)
Councillor Avril Williams

Resources Development & Scrutiny Panel

Councillor Conboy

Councillor Mrs Dorrien Dexter
Councillor Fines
Councillor Kirkman (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Lovelock (Chairman)
Councillor Morris
Councillor O'Hare
Councillor Gerald Taylor
Councillor Wilks

In his report number CEX286 the Chief Executive reminded members that at the last meeting of the Council an agreement was made in principle to revise the remits and names of the DSPs so that they accorded with both the proposed Cabinet portfolios and the authority's stated ambitions. Taking into account the seat changes agreed in minute 10, the Council was asked to confirm the new names and remits of the DSPs and appoint members to these panels and other committees of the Council and to elect Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen.

A list of the current memberships was circulated at the meeting to which the Leader and the Labour Group put forward amendments. Subject to these amendments, the Leader then moved the memberships en bloc. Upon being put to the vote, the memberships were carried.

The Leader then proposed names for the Chairmanships and Vice-Chairmanships (written details of which were circulated at the meeting by the Leader) of the panels and other committees of the Council which received a seconder.

An opposition member expressed strong concern that the proposed Chairmanships and Vice-Chairmanships had been awarded disproportionately to the Administration and the New Independent Group. He suggested that this did not respect the notion of modern, inclusive democracy or draw on the talents of the Council as a whole. Amendments to the nominations were indicated for which a recorded vote was sought. The call for a recorded vote was supported in accordance with Council procedure rule 16.4

An amendment was proposed and seconded that Councillor Vic Kerr replace Councillor Fines as Vice-Chairman of the Development Control Committee.

The names of members voting either for or against the amendment are recorded below:-

FOR

Councillor Dorrien Dexter
Councillor Mrs Gaffigan

AGAINST

Councillor Auger
Councillor Mrs Bosworth

Councillor Gibbins
 Councillor Fereshteh Hurst
 Councillor John Hurst
 Councillor Howard
 Councillor Mrs Jalili
 Councillor Joynson
 Councillor Kerr
 Councillor Wilks
 Councillor Avril Williams
 Councillor Mike Williams
 Councillor Wood
 Councillor Mrs Woods

Councillor Bryant
 Councillor Carpenter
 Councillor Mrs Cartwright
 Councillor Chivers
 Councillor Conboy
 Councillor Fines
 Councillor Fisher
 Councillor Helyar
 Councillor Kirkman
 Councillor Lovelock
 Councillor Martin-Mayhew
 Councillor Nadarajah
 Councillor Mrs Neal
 Councillor Nicholson
 Councillor Parkin
 Councillor Pease
 Councillor Mrs Radley
 Councillor Norman Radley
 Councillor Sandall
 Councillor John Smith
 Councillor Mrs Smith
 Councillor Stokes
 Councillor Gerald Taylor
 Councillor Mike Taylor
 Councillor Thompson
 Councillor Turner
 Councillor Graham Wheat
 Councillor Mrs Mary Wheat

14

30

The amendment was lost. A further vote was taken on the original motion which was carried.

13. TIMETABLE OF COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS

DECISION: In accordance with Council procedure rule 1.1 (x) that the meetings of the Council and Committees for 2005/06 be held in accordance with the draft programme as submitted.

The Chief Executive submitted a draft timetable for future meetings during the forthcoming municipal year, copies of which had previously been circulated to members.

14. REPRESENTATIVES ON OUTSIDE BODIES

DECISION:

(1) To confirm the following annual appointments:

**East Midlands Regional
Local Government Association
(EMRLGA)**

- **The Leader
(Councillor Mrs Linda Neal)**

East Midlands Regional Assembly

- **The Leader
(Councillor Mrs Linda Neal)**

**Local Government Association:
General Assembly**

- **The Leader or
Deputy Lead in his/her
absence**

**Local Government Association:
Rural Commission**

- **Councillor Peter Martin-
Mayhew (as Portfolio holder)*
Councillor Bryan Helyar**

***Councillor Martin-Mayhew to hold the voting rights.**

**Expressions of interest for:
EMRLGA Employment Forum
Steering Group**

- **Councillor John Smith**

EMRLGA Executive Committee

- **The Leader (Councillor Mrs
Neal)**

**(2) That Councillor Mrs Marjory Radley be appointed to represent this
authority on the Lincolnshire County Council Health Scrutiny
Committee;**

**(3) That the following members be appointed to the South Kesteven Race
Equality Forum:**

**Councillor Gibbins
Councillor Helyar
Councillor Kirkman
Councillor Nadarajah**

On behalf of the Administration, the Leader put forward nominations for the annual appointments to the East Midlands Regional Local Government Association and Local Government Association positions. An amendment was proposed and seconded that Councillor John Hurst remain as one of the two representatives on the Local Government Association Rural Commission.

A call for a recorded vote on the amendment was supported in accordance with Council procedure rule 16.4.

The names of members voting either for, against or abstaining from the amendment are recorded below:-

FOR

AGAINST

Councillor Mrs Gaffigan
 Councillor Gibbins
 Councillor Fereshteh Hurst
 Councillor John Hurst
 Councillor Howard
 Councillor Mrs Jalili
 Councillor Joynson
 Councillor Kerr
 Councillor Wilks
 Councillor Avril Williams
 Councillor Mike Williams
 Councillor Wood
 Councillor Mrs Woods

Councillor Auger
 Councillor Mrs Bosworth
 Councillor Bryant
 Councillor Carpenter
 Councillor Mrs Cartwright
 Councillor Chivers
 Councillor Conboy
 Councillor Fines
 Councillor Fisher
 Councillor Helyar
 Councillor Kirkman
 Councillor Lovelock
 Councillor Martin-Mayhew
 Councillor Nadarajah
 Councillor Mrs Neal
 Councillor Nicholson
 Councillor Parkin
 Councillor Pease
 Councillor Mrs Radley
 Councillor Norman Radley
 Councillor Sandall
 Councillor John Smith
 Councillor Mrs Smith
 Councillor Stokes
 Councillor Gerald Taylor
 Councillor Mike Taylor
 Councillor Thompson
 Councillor Turner
 Councillor Graham Wheat
 Councillor Mrs Mary Wheat

13

30

1 ABSTENTION – Councillor Miss Channell

The amendment was lost. A further vote was taken on the original motion which was carried.

The Chairman then called for nominations to the Lincolnshire County Council Health Scrutiny Committee. Councillor Mrs Marjory Radley was proposed and seconded. As an amendment, Councillor Howard was proposed and seconded. Members were asked to vote for one candidate. Councillor Mrs Radley received the higher number of votes (30).

Nominations were sought for four positions on the newly constituted South Kesteven Race Equality Forum. A report number HR&OD77 by the corporate Manager Human Resources & Organisational Development had been circulated with the agenda setting out the background to the formation of this

group which represented part of the consultation arrangements within the Council's recently adopted Generic Equality Scheme. Six nominations were submitted. The Chairman advised members that each Councillor was permitted up to four votes; the candidates receiving the four highest number of votes would be appointed. The candidates and the number of votes each received are recorded below:

Councillor Gibbins	-	25 votes
Councillor Helyar	-	30 votes
Councillor Mrs Jalili	-	18 votes
Councillor Kirkman	-	29 votes
Councillor Nadarajah	-	31 votes
Councillor Mrs Woods	-	13 votes

15. NOTICES OF MOTION

The Chief Executive advised that Councillor O'Hare, who had proposed two notices of motion, was absent from the meeting. As there was no specific provision in the Constitution regarding whether a motion could be put in the absence of the mover, the motions would be held over until the next Council meeting on 26th May 2005. The Chairman informed members that the Chief Executive had advised Councillor O'Hare to amend the wording of his first motion in order to comply with Council procedure rules.

16. REVIEW OF COUNCIL PRIORITIES

DECISION:

- (1) To adopt the targets set within the Chief Executive's report number CEX283 for both Affordable Housing and Recycling;**
- (2) That the classification for Affordable Housing be reconsidered at a future date.**

On behalf of the Cabinet, the Leader presented report number CAB1 which explained that following the Cabinet's careful consideration of the Chief Executive's report number CEX283, the outcome of the residents' survey, and comments from all the DSPs, it had come to the conclusion to concur with the revised targets for Affordable Housing and Recycling. The Cabinet also recommended that Affordable Housing remain a Category B priority but that this status be subject to future review. The Cabinet's recommendations were seconded.

An amendment was proposed and seconded that Affordable Housing be moved to a Category A priority as the outcome of the recent Housing Inspection had indicated that the Council's progress to date represented a step change. In support of the amendment, a member stated that, at the very least, moving this issue to a Category A priority was symbolically important for those in the community living without homes. The Chief Executive was asked what was the definition of Affordable Housing.

The Chief Executive responded by stating there was no statutory definition of the term; it was up to local housing authorities to determine their definition and then implement it through its housing policy. South Kesteven's definition lent towards properties managed by social landlords. Asked for clarification on what the Inspectors' regarded as a step change, the Chief Executive emphasised that the Audit Commission's report into the Council's Housing Service was not yet in the public domain. He could therefore only refer to what they said in their de-briefing; the comments made in relation to step change were only in relation to targets already written, not those proposed.

The Leader commented that the Cabinet had made its decision having considered the representations of the DSPs and the information before it. Whether Affordable Housing was a category A or B priority would not prevent the Council providing every unit of affordable housing it possibly could. She stressed that, ahead of the publication of the Audit Commission's report, it would be inappropriate to re-classify this issue.

A vote was taken on the amendment which was lost. A further vote on the original motion was carried.

17. SOUTH KESTEVEN DISTRICT COUNCIL ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR AND ENFORCEMENT POLICY

DECISION: To adopt the South Kesteven District Council Anti-Social Behaviour and Enforcement Policy and to note that new policy initiatives will be referred to the Cabinet.

The Director of Community Services introduced this policy document which the Cabinet had endorsed at its meeting held on 4th April 2005. It represented the Council's duty under Section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act to have a corporate response to crime and disorder issues. The document had also been fully supported by the Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership at its meeting held on 6th April 2005.

A member stated that an important question on this issue was "Who Cares?". He referred to a play that was being put on in the Guildhall Arts Centre the next day by young people on the subject of anti-social behaviour. He urged all members to go and see the play. These comments were endorsed by another member who expressed the opinion that all members should go out into the community to understand what was going on; this was the only way in which informed decisions could be made. The Director was asked if a flow chart could be produced to assist members in responding to questions on this issue. He was also asked how the policy would enable the Council to make a step change in this category A priority.

The Director replied that a flowchart would be part of the detailed action plan which followed this document. There were two aspects to achieving the step change. Firstly, activities to engage young people such as referred to earlier involving arts and leisure, and secondly, the tougher enforcement element such

as the application of anti-social behaviour orders. The adoption of the policy was so moved and seconded and carried following a vote.

18. AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION: DELEGATIONS TO OFFICERS

DECISION:

- (1) The Head of Waste and Contract Services be included as an authorised officer for the purposes of the enforcement of litter, waste and dog fouling in respect of the following statutes:

The Environmental Protection Act 1990
The Refuse Disposal (Amenity) Act 1978
Dogs (Fouling of Land) Act 1996

- (2) The Head of Waste and Contract Services be authorised to designate in writing any other officers that are to be authorised by the Council to enter premises for these various statutory purposes and also to act in respect of the enforcement of the various statutory provisions relating to waste, dog fouling and fly tipping within these statutes.

Members had before them report number DLS35 by the Corporate Manager, Democratic & Legal seeking amendments to the delegations to officers listed in the Constitution in order to reflect operational changes concerning the enforcement of dog fouling, fly tipping and litter legislation. The amendments were so moved and carried following a vote.

19. AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION: RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION & ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

DECISION: To agree the following amendments to the Constitution:

- (1) That a written response where information is available be given to questions without discussion prior to the Council meeting. Supplementary questions to be allowed. Any questions that cannot be answered at the meeting to be forwarded to the relevant DSP for discussion and a full answer supplied for the next Council meeting;
- (2) The main debate be removed from the Constitution;
- (3) Rule 16 (c) of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules be amended to read:

“During that period, the proper officer shall call-in a decision for scrutiny by the panel if so requested by the Chairman or any five members of the Council from any political group(s). No one member shall request more than three call-ins in any one municipal year. The proper officer shall notify the decision-taker of the call-in. He/she shall call a meeting of the panel on such a date as he/she may determine, where possible after consultation with the Chairman of the panel, and in any case as soon as possible after the meeting.”

The Leader presented the recommendations of the Constitution & Accounts Committee as contained within the Corporate Manager, Democratic & Legal Services' report number DLS34. These recommendations were made in order to help reduce the length of Council meetings.

The three amendments were voted upon separately. The vote on the proposal to provide written responses to questions without discussion where information is available resulted in an equality of votes. The Chairman used his casting vote in favour of the motion.

**20. HIGH HEDGES LEGISLATION - ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR ACT 2003:
OPERATIONAL ISSUES**

DECISION:

(1) The following functions be added to the Development Control Committees' remit:-

“Functions relating to high hedges pursuant to Part 8 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003.”

(2) The following powers be delegated to the Development Control Services Manager:-

- (i) to deal with all complaints in relation to high hedges made pursuant to Part 8 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003 and any regulations issued thereunder;**
- (ii) to authorise persons to exercise the power of entry pursuant to Section 74 and 77 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003.**

(3) That a member panel of not less than three members be created to determine complaints requiring a hearing pursuant to Part 8 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003. The member panel to be drawn from members of the Development Control Committee.

(4) That the Council recommends to the Cabinet that it determines the maximum fee for dealing with High Hedge complaints under Section 68 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003.

In his report number DLS24, the Corporate Manager, Democratic & Legal Services set out the new statutory duty imposed upon the District Council in relation to complaints about high hedges and the requirement to put in place the necessary procedures, fees, delegations and authorisations in order to implement the requirements when brought into force. The Office of the Deputy Prime Minister had indicated that this was likely to be within the next few months. The Corporate Manager stated that the Development Control Committee was generally supportive of the regime and the proposed delegation of powers to that committee would sit with the committee's quasi-judicial role.

Discussion began by a member expressing concern that the Government had passed this responsibility to local authorities without any financial support. Whilst he welcomed legislation to empower the Council to act where trees and hedges were blighting the life of people living nearby, he urged caution in the wording of the recommendations before the meeting. He questioned the need for qualifications to decide if trees are a problem and proposed that the requirement for a member panel to be drawn from the Development Control Committee either be deleted or amended to refer to people who have had planning training.

The member went on to refer to the recommendation that the maximum fees to apply be in line with planning application fees. He regarded this as a punitive charge and proposed that a charge of £50 be made which could be returned to the complainant if the complaint was judged to be justified.

An amendment was then moved and seconded to accept the recommendations as presented.

A number of members spoke on this issue, commenting on the need for the panel to be politically balanced, the delegation to the Development Control Committee, and the appropriate level of fee.

The Corporate Manager responded to these points. He stated that the panel would not be politically balanced and stressed the fact that it would be carrying out a quasi-judicial function. Members would be stepping into the realm of neighbour disputes and would therefore have to approach these matters with a quasi-judicial mindset. Appropriate training would be a necessary pre-requisite. For these reasons he urged the Council to think very carefully about the proposal that this matter is dealt other than by the Development Control Committee. Furthermore, he warned that there would be no short cut in these procedures; it was likely to be considerably expensive in terms of member and officer time.

On the basis of the advice given, with the consent of his seconder, the proposer of the original motion agreed to withdraw his amendment to delete the reference to a member panel from the Development Control Committee.

A vote was taken on the amendment to agree the recommendations as printed which was lost. A vote was then taken on the original motion (as subsequently amended) and carried.

21. QUESTIONS WITHOUT DISCUSSION.

Three questions had been submitted prior to the meeting.

Verbatim details of the questions, together with supplementary questions and the responses are set out in the appendix to the minutes.

22. CLOSE OF MEETING

The meeting closed at 5.20p.m.

APPENDIX TO COUNCIL MINUTES: 28TH APRIL 2005

MINUTE 21: QUESTIONS WITHOUT DISCUSSION

QUESTION 1 (Councillor Vic Kerr)

Could you please advise me what steps have been taken so that the recycling containers are emptied promptly to stop another fiasco as did happen at Claypole Village Hall Car Park two weeks ago, when UK Recycling was told the container was overflowing every day, for a week. The weekend was very windy and plastic bottles and paper were blown all over the car park and into neighbours gardens, which was collected by the Clerk to Parish Council and employees of the UK Recycling the next day.

Response: Councillor Ray Auger

There was a meeting between Dawn Temple, the Sustainable Waste Management Policy Officer and Chris Mountain, Managing Director of Mid UK Recycling Limited. Discussions took place about the various problems we were having with the Recycling Bank scheme including the Claypole village hall site. We have had to rework the collection frequencies for many banks especially from those where no facilities previously were existing and we underestimated their success as they are collecting 20% more than the previous style banks. Any report from Mid UK that a bank has become full must be actioned by them within 36 hours. This is a contractual clause which we feel is essential to overcome such problems in the future. My apologies to Councillor Kerr and the villagers of Claypole but we have ensured that Chris Mountain and his staff know that the banks must be emptied quickly upon the request of the Council, and that any spillages and overload must be cleared.

QUESTION 2 (Councillor Mike Williams)

Does the portfolio holder agree that this Council should be giving far more support, both financially and with more member support, to our excellent officers and Guildhall staff who involve themselves in targeting young people by using performing arts to steer them away from involvement in anti-social behaviour?

Response: Councillor Mrs Cartwright

I'd just like to say thank you for the question but technically speaking I am not the portfolio holder for culture any more. I would just say that I would encourage all members to support the excellent shows that you will find at the Guildhall. The staff work very hard to provide a variety of interesting programmes and I would urge every member to support them.

Supplementary Question: Councillor Mike Williams

I have made comments earlier about anti-social behaviour but I would ask the Portfolio Holder, whoever it may be, to look at the staggering success rate of the Rock Challenge

which uses performing arts to steer young people away from anti-social behaviour. It is well worth looking at. I hope that as a Council we can perhaps put more resources into performing arts and give our staff who are employed in performing arts the support they need. I would also ask as many members as possible to be in the Guildhall ballroom tomorrow afternoon at 2.30 pm to start the process.

Response: Councillor Mrs Cartwright

I would just say I did have tickets for the Blues Brothers for Thursday night but got delayed in Peterborough by anti-social behaviour – a bomb scare – so I didn't make it. I was very cross.

QUESTION 3 (Councillor Mike Williams)

Does the portfolio holder agree with me that it is totally unacceptable to expect elderly people and people with special needs to have to wait for over a year for home conversions, especially when the need involves something as basic as personal care and hygiene?

Response: Councillor Peter Martin-Mayhew

Unfortunately, if it was a perfect world, we would be able to do just what you say. It is totally unacceptable to expect lots of elderly people with special needs to have to wait. If we had £500,000 or £600,000 we could actually clear the backlog. Because the number of debilitating illness have expanded so quickly we have not been able to cope with this. This has meant that we have to means test the tenants. We are trying to get to more people in the situation that Councillor Mike Williams is talking about, simply because we cannot get to them because we have so many that want to so much – and we just cannot do that. We are trying to work with Social Services to bring this down if we can and to actually categorise them. Of course the question is really about categorisation of the people in most need who really want that work doing first. I think that covers what Councillor Mike Williams is saying.